



Site Stakeholder Group

DUNGENESS A SITE AND B POWER STATION
DUNGENESS SITE STAKEHOLDER GROUP MEETING

Dungeness Visitor Centre

Thursday 16th October 2014

10:00 for 10:30am

Attendance:

Cllr Lord Amphil	-	Rother District Council
Mrs L Barton	-	Lydd Airport Action Group
Cllr M Burgess	-	Ashford Borough Council
Mrs R Cavanagh	-	Dungeness B Power Station
Cllr P Coe	-	New Romney Town Council
Cllr Mrs V Dawson	-	Lydd Town Council
Mrs T Finn	-	SSG Secretariat
Dr L Franks	-	Minute Taker
Mr K Franks	-	Independent Member
Ms C Gamble	-	Dungeness A Site
Mr J Goodsell	-	SJC Dungeness A Site
Dr P Hayes	-	ONR (Dun A)
Mr T Hills	-	Independent Member
Mr D Illsley	-	Shepway District Council
Cllr R Jones	-	Lydd Town Council
Mr R Lavender	-	Kent Invicta Chamber of Commerce
Cllr D Lawton	-	New Romney Town Council
Ms T Luetchford	-	Romney Marsh Partnership Group
Ms J Moakes	-	Environment Agency
Mrs H Morris	-	Dungeness A Site
Mrs L Parker	-	SJC Dungeness A Site
Mr A Parry	-	Independent Vice Chairman
Mr M Pearson	-	Dungeness B Power Station
Mr J Prosser	-	Kent County Council
Ms J Sanders	-	EDF Energy
Cllr P Simmons	-	Shepway District Council
Col G Smythe	-	Independent Chairman
Mr R Starbuk	-	Dungeness B Power Station
Ms D Ward	-	NDA
Mrs L Whenday	-	Dungeness Residents Association
Mr P Wilkinson	-	Dungeness A Site
Mr J Wroblewski	-	Dungeness B Power Station

Guests:

Mr J Dalton	-	Radioactive Waste Management
Mr T Moore	-	Cavendish Fluor Partnership
Mrs C Verrall	-	Dungeness A Site

I INTRODUCTION

- 3234 Col. Smythe welcomed members and guests to the 21st Meeting of the Site Stakeholder Group (SSG). He opened the meeting by reminding attendees that Cavendish Fluor Partnership (CFP), the new Parent Body Organisation (PBO) for Magnox and RSRL, would be presenting today and welcoming Tony Moore, the Chief Operating officer for Dungeness, Berkley, Bradwell and Hinkley sites. Chairman thanked other guests for their attendance and encouraged the questioning of the experts present at this meeting:

II APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

- 3235 The following apologies were received:

Ms K Anderson	-	NDA
Cllr D Baker	-	Kent County Council
Mrs G Baker	-	NR, Lydd & Greatstone Res Asso
Dr L Davies	-	ONR (Dun B)
Sgt Simon Johnson	-	Kent Police
Mr A Pynn	-	EA (Dun A)
Mr M Salisbury	-	Kent Resilience Team
Cllr A Snoad	-	Romney Town Council
Mr D Whitnall	-	Dungeness A Site

III MINUTES OF THE MEETINGS

- 3236 The minutes of the 20th SSG meeting held on 30 April 2014 was agreed as an accurate record. Chairman drew attention to the Flood Defence update provided at that meeting, appended to the back of the minutes.

IV CORRESPONDENCE

- 3237 A list of correspondence, distributed to SSG members, was made available to attendees. Chairman reminded attendees that hard copies of correspondence were available on request. Chairman added that Mr Parry (Vice Chairman) and himself had received an invitation to the National SSG meeting in October.

V MATTERS ARISING FROM THE 20th MEETING

- 3238 Action arising at Paragraph 3224 to be covered under item XIV. Chairman drew attention to paragraphs 3206-3208 regarding Fuel Element Debris (FED) and Intermediate Level Waste (ILW) advising that there had no strategic decision from the PBO regarding these matters.

VI A SITE DIRECTOR'S REPORT

- 3239 Mr Paul Wilkinson, Site Director, drew attention to the written report dated May-October 2014, highlighting the following:
- *Safety Performance*: one year since a lost time accident. CFP are committed to the safety first culture.
 - *Site Clearance*: Good progress with south side site clearance project; turbine hall shell demolition scheduled for January 2015.
 - *ILW programme*: currently paused whilst alternative more suitable, acceptable and affordable waste packaging is considered.
 - *Ponds Programme*: decommissioning proceeding in earnest with 10 skips already removed; 60 skips to be removed over next few months. Pond drainage to commence in 2015.
 - *Fuel Element Debris (FED)*: final stages of processing Dungeness FED residues, to be completed by end 2014.
 - *Socio-economic and Community Relations*: continue to support local projects.
 - *VIP Visit*: Business Secretary, Vince Cable, visited site to launch the National Skills Academy Nuclear Funding.
- 3240 No questions were forthcoming.

VII CAVENDISH FLUOR PARTNERSHIP (PBO) INTRODUCTION

- 3241 Mr Tony Moore, a PBO executive team representative seconded into Magnox, introduced himself and provided a presentation about the Cavendish Flour Partnership. The following key points were noted:
- Environmental, Health, Safety, Security and Quality: ethos for zero accidents; a 'just' rather than a no blame culture that determines the root cause and ensures that everyone is accountable for safety; focus on security; single programme of work from April 2015.
 - CFP: 60% Cavendish, 40% Fluor; considerable experience and background of each company described. Cavendish Nuclear is a subsidiary of Babcock.
 - Governance and Organisational Structure: PBO has zero new employees, all are drawn from Cavendish, Babcock or Fluor. 36 new employees in the Magnox and RSRL companies have been seconded from the PBO. The individuals involved and the structure and governance arrangements were described.
 - Closure: 13.5 year programme to close sites, 6.5yr + 7yr contract based on target cost with milestone achievements. Increased accountability.
 - Technical Approach: encapsulation rather than dissolution of FED likely for other sites. Discussions continue about possible consolidation of waste. Unlikely Dungeness will have an ILW store. Wylfa generation extended only until end 2015.
 - Single Organisation: Single site licence sought for Magnox and RSRL. Number of programmes included in 'programmisation' likely to expand to enable a common approach across fleet of sites.
 - Mission: all sites into Care and Maintenance with a workforce that delivers good value to the taxpayer. Opportunities for redeployment of workforce within Cavendish, Babcock, Fluor, new build companies or severance as preferred.

- Stakeholder Engagement and Socio-economics: continue to support strong stakeholder engagement. Agreement signed 01.09.14 defining commitment to stakeholder and continued support of socio-economic arrangements.
- 3242 Q: Why are CFP considering moving waste elsewhere? What is the impact for each site?
A: Several sites already have specialised stores for waste. Consolidating waste fully utilises these and minimises the security arrangements required during Care and Maintenance (C&M). Consolidating waste enables some sites to have more available free space, which could impact on the end state.
- 3243 Q: Why has the decision been made to encapsulate rather than dissolve FED?
A: Current process at Dungeness is successful but very slow. There will be time and cost benefits to encapsulation.
Q: This seems to generate a future problem.
A: Waste can be separated and allowed to decay as required to a level where it can be disposed of at a low level waste repository.
- 3244 Q: If the process at Dungeness works, why not continue this and retain local experience and jobs?
A: Process is very slow. Timescale is a key issue to deliver site closure on time. Further dissolution of FED from other sites at Dungeness could disrupt this.
- 3245 Q: When is Dungeness due to enter IC&M? Is this definite?
A: March 2019.
- 3246 Mr Illsley reminded Mr Moore that CFP were invited to attend a meeting of the Romney Marsh Partnership Group to introduce CFP and in particular talk about their strategy for socio-economic support.
- 3247 Chairman advised his understanding that by accepting FED from other sites to undergo dissolution, that ILW generated by Dungeness would be stored at another site. He expressed disappointment that this may no longer be the outcome. Mr Moore advised that the Magnox strategy had yet to be finalised and that the stakeholders, operators and regulators would be consulted.
- 3248 Q: Are the proposed new waste storage boxes compatible with current transport and storage arrangements?
A: The alternative containers being considered are far less expensive than current yellow boxes and would present savings to the taxpayer. They should not impact on transport or storage arrangements.
Q: What about their safety?
A: ONR regulates ILW storage at licensed sites. The Environment Agency regulates low level waste disposal.
Regulator engagement with Magnox about its proposed changes to the decommissioning strategy has not yet commenced and will involve all regulatory agencies. The ONR requires that Magnox must demonstrate that storage of ILW must remain safe for the storage duration on any nuclear licensed site.
- 3249 Chairman questioned whether Kent County Council was aware and happy about the waste storage proposals. Mr Prosser confirmed that Kent County Council had been briefed at other meetings (NuLeAF), had understood and was happy with the proposals.

VIII DUNGENESS B STATION DIRECTOR'S REPORT

3250 Mr Martin Pearson, Station Director, drew attention to his written report dated 16 October 2014 and drew attention to the following aspects:

- *Contract Partners*: peak of 1286 additional people during outage; exceeded seven years without a lost time injury – an industry record for the company.
- *Tritium Release*: a 2mm hole in pipework leading to nitrogen facility found that has allowed carbon dioxide (containing tritium) to escape into the ground. This is the cause of the elevated tritium levels detected in groundwater, identified by borehole monitoring and previously reported. The hole has been welded and the seal checked. No hazard to staff or public has been caused as the water table flows from the station into sea. ONR and EA have been informed and are currently investigating this event.
- *Investment in Plant*: £25million invested in summer 2014 outage. Summer 2015 outage investment estimated at £27million. Additional £8million uplift investment during 2015 to enable Plant Life Extension (PLEx).
- *Flood Defences*: final phase, the rock armour wall behind the shingle bank, due to be completed by end of 2014, subject to planning consent. Appearance described using artist impressions (appended to written report). Rock armour to be delivered via barge. Once completed, community access route will be reopened.
- *Beach Feeding*: to commence in November. Local residents consulted to mitigate impact of transport arrangements.
- *Visitor Centre*: proving popular with 9,500 plus visitors to date. Many schools are undertaking repeat visits.
- *Hinkley Point C*: European Commission approval on state aid has been received to build this new station. Final investment decision remains to be sanctioned by EDF.
- *Lifetime Extension*: A board decision about whether to extend the lifetime of the plant to 2028 will be made in the next 3 months. Progress up to this outcome remains on plan.
- *Longpits Lakes*: EDF Energy has contracted to purchase this land. Stakeholder meetings with local anglers and bird watchers will be arranged to enable continued community use of this land once final legal agreements are in place.

3251 Q: How does the operator monitor infringements of the no-fly exclusion zone? Manually or using Radar?

A: Manually. Any potential infringement is investigated via contact with the Civil Aviation Authority (CAA). Chair noted that the ranges have radar.

3252 Q: How heavy are the rocks to be used for the rock armour? Will they be washed around to the point?

A: The rocks will be on the dry side of the shingle bank and are used to prevent the bank being pushed forwards. A good example of the use of rock armour is that at Dymchurch.

3253 Q: Is the access route for the community part of the guttering system? Can the beach still be accessed? Will this route form part of the coastal path?

A: No, it is on the existing concrete access route and will allow beach access. The coastal path right of way is actually on top of the shingle bank.

IX OFFICE for NUCLEAR REGULATION (ONR) REPORT FOR DUNGENESS A.

3254 Dr P Hayes, Site Inspector for Dungeness A, drew attention to his report dated 1 July 2014 to 30 September 2014 and in particular to the following:

- *Compliance Inspections:* ONR Fire Safety Inspector visited during July to consider fire safety in conjunction with demolition, specifically the turbine hall area. No concerns were raised. Recommendations were made. No concerns raised from routine inspections. Careful watch being kept on all Magnox sites and at Corporate Centre until PBO becomes fully established.
- *Revised Emergency Plan:* ONR have considered and approved the site revised emergency plan and off-site emergency arrangements are no longer required. Site are engaging with ONR to revise their on-site emergency plans. Formal agreement required before proposals can be implemented.
- *Licence Condition (LC) Changes:* LC1 regarding definitions, has been amended. LC3 amended to enable the licensee to trade the land occupied by the site without ONR consent unless this was likely to cause an increased nuclear risk.

3255 Mrs Barton posed a question about changes to ONR recommendations made in 2013 compared with those in 1992 for restrictions to aircraft weights and flight paths near the power station. Dr Hayes agreed an **ACTION** to take a written question from Mrs Barton back to ONR about these recommendations and provide a written response to Mrs Barton.

X OFFICE for NUCLEAR REGULATION (ONR) REPORT FOR DUNGENESS B

3256 Dr Hayes reported that Dr Green, the previous Dungeness B Site Inspector, had been reassigned and that the incoming Inspector, Dr Davies, had sent apologies for this meeting, adding that Dr Davies had every intention of attending future SSG meetings. Dr Hayes drew attention to the quarterly report provided, dated 1 April 2014 to 30 June 2014, and invited questions. No questions were forthcoming.

XI ENVIRONMENTAL UPDATE FOR DUNGENESS A AND DUNGENESS B

3257 Jo Moakes, EA Site Inspector for Dungeness B, passed on the apologies of Andrew Pynn, EA Site Inspector for Dungeness A and agreed to take any questions or comments regarding the Dungeness A site. Ms Moakes referred to the Environment Agency Nuclear Regulation Report for both sites dated May to Oct 2014 and commented upon the following regarding the Dungeness A station:

- *Impact of new PBO:* the request to change the chemical discharge permit limits for FED dissolution are in abeyance until the CFP strategy has been confirmed.
- *Best Available Techniques:* EA requires these to be continuously demonstrated and may mean that additional abatement is required for FED treatment in the future.

3258 Ms Moakes referred to the section of the report that concerned Dungeness B station and in particular to the following:

- *Discharges*: all noted as well within limits of permits.
- *Radioactive Waste Management Arrangements*: The arrangements at all nuclear sites are being inspected to enable sharing of best practice. A consolidated report will be published early 2015
- *Groundwater Tritium*: Under investigation presently. Site noted to have informed regulators as soon as the elevated tritium was detected in the boreholes. The operators have investigated the extent of the plume and found that the levels of tritium disperse quickly into the groundwater with levels of tritium approximately 15-20 metres from the borehole dropping to background levels. The groundwater flows towards to sea so no tritium has been released off site. Potential remedial work will be reported to future meetings.
- *Eels Regulations*: These require the installation of best practice screens of 1-2mm on the abstraction point. Dungeness B have provided the EA with a report which will evaluate the feasibility of installing best practice screens. If this is not possible then alternative measures will need to be explored by the operator.

3259 Mr Hills questioned how eels, a protected species, are prevented from being taken into the plant with the cooling water, given that seals are sometimes trapped in this fashion. Ms Moakes referred to a large document (web link is in her report) that describes alternative measures where best practice screening is not possible to implement. She gave an example of one of the alternative measures which would involve screening and then the safe return of eels to the sea via a fish return system. Ms Moakes advised that this was a legal requirement and was carried out in conjunction with the ONR.

3260 Mr Hills commented that a community group forum had been promised about one month before shingle recycling was commenced. Given that the beach feeding was due to start in November, he questioned why no forum had been called. Mr Pearson advised that once the principal contractor had been determined that this forum would be set up.

3261 Mr Hills questioned whether chlorine levels had increased in the outfall as a result of the outage. Mr Pearson advised that the cooling system, that included the hypochlorite dosing (not Chlorine), was taken out of service during the major shutdown and since completion had been re-started. Ms Moakes added that the EA sample the outfall and that increased levels had not been detected.

XII DUNGENESS A SITE ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT PLAN

3262 Chloe Gamble, Head of Environment at Dungeness A, drew attention to the Environmental Management Plan dated October 2014, noting this as an annual requirement of the consent to decommission, granted in 2006. Ms Gamble advised that the plan details the mitigation measures employed to minimise the impact on the environment resulting from decommissioning and assesses the effectiveness of these measures. The measures will be reviewed once baseline changes are agreed by CFP.

3263 Chairman questioned the use of 'dust frisbees' and Ms Gamble explained that baseline dust measurements are taken to enable detection of additional dust caused by demolition works.

3264 Ms Gamble reminded attendees that the report was available electronically which enabled rapid searching for particular measures or areas of concern.

XIII NDA UPDATE

3265 Deborah Ward, NDA Corporate Communications Manager introduced herself and provided clarification of the NDA's contract model that has led to the arrival of CFP as the parent body for the Magnox and RSRL sites. She explained the arrangements for managing the site licence companies. It was noted that the competition for the parent body organisation was carried out over two years.

3266 Ms Ward advised that the new NDA website has now been launched and invited attendees to feedback on its ease of use. She drew attention to the previously circulated NDA Monthly Update for October 2014 and in particular to the following:

- *Supply Chain Event*: to be held on 6 November in Manchester.
- *Wylfa Site*: an extra year of life, to end of 2015, has been granted. Originally due to close in 2010, the extra years of generation have delivered an additional income of £785 million to the taxpayer.
- *Research Bursaries*: via R&D portfolio. Proposals invited by 4 November via the form on the National Nuclear Laboratory website.
- *Sellafield*: new office block built in Whitehaven for 1,000 Sellafield staff.
- *Sizewell A site*: now fully defuelled.
- *Hunterston A Site*: a quayside project to develop £3million office accommodation at Irvine Bay near the site has received a £375,000 award from the NDA and Energy Solutions.
- *Berkeley Site*: part of the Berkeley Centre, adjacent to the site, will be used to develop an engineering training centre for young people. This is the first example of how nuclear facilities have been decommissioned and reused for educational purposes.
- *Harwell Site*: one quarter (about 30 football pitches in size) has been de-licensed.

3267 Chairman asked about the request (extended to those invited to the next National Site Stakeholder Group meeting) for comments about the NDA strategy paper. Ms Ward explained that the NDA Strategy is due for publication in 2016 and that the process of discussion and consultation was being started now to enable a draft strategy to be published in 2015 for formal consultation prior to final publication.

3268 Chairman asked whether the request for the hub team to attend and address an SSG meeting to describe the security arrangements for the site once it enters Care and Maintenance had been forgotten. Mr Wilkinson advised that as this was a Magnox matter that he would take an **ACTION** to arrange for the Hub Team to attend and address a future SSG meeting.

XIV IMPLEMENTING GEOLOGICAL DISPOSAL

3269 Chairman introduced this item by reminding attendees that in 2013 the SSG meeting had been addressed by Shepway District Council about the siting of a Geological Disposal Facility and had followed this with local consultation. He added that the

views of the SSG about the GDF process had been collated and submitted and that the 2014 white paper addressed these concerns. He introduced John Dalton of Radioactive Waste Management, as the expert in this field.

3270 Mr Dalton opened his presentation with a vivid example of the consequences of not being appropriately informed. He then explained his previous experience in the field of tropical agronomy, as an environmental regulator, working for DECC and within geological disposal. He provided an update of where the process was with establishing a geological disposal facility in the UK. He drew particular attention to the following aspects:

- *Radioactive Waste Management Ltd*: a wholly owned subsidiary of the NDA established April 2014 to deliver a GDF and provide radioactive waste management solutions. Operates as a 'prospective' Site Licence Company spending circa £20m/annum and employing around 100 people.
- *Waste treatment and storage*: the need for a long term solution for higher activity waste materials has been recognised.
- *Committee on Radioactive Waste Management (CoRWM)*: recommended that only viable long term solution was geological disposal, meanwhile safe robust interim storage was required to enable a staged decision process with learning from other world-wide storage examples. White Paper published 2008.
- *Progress since 2008*: site selection process halted in Jan 2013. A Government review of the process was undertaken resulting in the policy framework outlined in the 2014 White Paper.
- *2014 Policy Framework*: a White Paper (available on DECC website) that sets out a clear plan and timescales to help communities participate. Initial actions will be to undertake national geological screening, amend the national land use arrangements for GDF and provide clarity on how DECC and RWM will work with communities. The process relies on communities that are willing to proceed once appropriately informed about geology, socio-economic impact and community investment.
- *Siting Process*: diagram that clarifies the anticipated 15-20 years to establish a site for the construction of a GDF.
- *GDF Actions (next 2 years)*: RWM to lead on national geological screening (subscribe for updates at www.nda.gov.uk/rwm). DECC to lead on preparing to work with communities. DECC to develop the land use planning arrangements to enable a GDF.

3271 Q: What is the status of the White Paper? Is this part of a parliamentary legislative process?

A: Further changes to legislation are required, for example to enable the ONR to license a GDF. There is no requirement for a bill and then an act to create a GDF as the changes necessary will be undertaken by amending other existing legislation.

3272 Q: 15-20 years ago geological screening was undertaken by previous Governments and potential sites were identified. What has happened to that?

A: Nirex did undertake this work in the mid 1980's but to different criteria and the planning inquiry appeal in 1997 was upheld by the then Secretary of State, John Gummer. The current process will consider all the available information in existence today for consideration against the critical parameters (determined by the independent review panel) to consider the suitability of a location.

- Q: Maps have been produced before identifying suitable areas of the country from drilling boreholes, will this data be used?
- A: Nirex did drill boreholes mainly in west Cumbria and the far north of Scotland and the process mentioned in the White Paper will be to use this data. The current process extends only to England and Wales and will be to gather all available geological data. The independent review panel set up by the Geological Society of London will determine which geological attributes are required for a GDF.
- 3273 Q: The willingness of communities to participate has been stressed but who will speak for local communities, who will be consulted?
- A: I don't know. DECC will establish a working group to answer this question. In the response to DECC's consultation, it was clear that Parish Councillors felt the Parish Council should be responsible, similarly the County Councillors and so forth. Hopefully, in two years time I will be able to provide you with a clear answer. Chairman added that chapter 7 of the 2014 White Paper considered this matter at length.
- 3274 Q: The communities around Dungeness are concerned that waste generated elsewhere will be disposed of in their community; will this be the case?
- A: The intention is to look for a single site to host the higher activity radioactive waste generated throughout England and Wales. There are a series of steps to go through before any individual location is identified.
- Q: Common sense suggests that a repository should not be built on the seaside.
- A: The repository should be between 200m and 1000m underground. Sweden is looking to build a repository under the Baltic Sea.
- 3275 Mr Hills commented that potential sites, identified by their geology, were likely to cross county boundaries and, like the Romney Marsh Partnership, could mean local economic benefits or be considered economically blighted depending on individual points of view. Mr Dalton agreed that this was a contentious issue. Mr Hills stressed the importance of communities having access to accurate information and not being frightened by headlines. Mr Dalton emphatically agreed.
- 3276 Mrs Barton suggested that the logistics of having a waste dump in the Dungeness region was ridiculous because of the logistics of transporting waste from other parts of England and Wales. Mr Dalton clarified that nowhere was ruled in or out at this time and emphasised that the GDF was not a 'dump' but would be a highly engineered and highly regulated facility subject to nuclear licence conditions.
- 3277 Q: What will happen to waste generated in Scotland?
- A: Scottish policy currently is 'near surface, near site'. Scottish Parliament is considering a number of local sites adjacent to current waste generating sites.
- Q: If 'near surface, near site' is suitable why aren't England and Wales doing that?
- A: International best practice is for geological disposal and to host waste deep underground.
- 3278 Q: The local community have already been consulted and agreed that they do not want to have the GDF here. Are we going to be asked again?
- A: Nowhere is ruled in or out at this time.
- Q: But the people have said 'no'; is this going to be ignored?
- A: This is a new process. It depends on what the communities were actually asked to consider and this time they will be better informed about the GDF.
- Q: Is this simply a policy to ask enough times until you get a 'yes'?

A: Government policy is that the community MUST be willing to host such a facility and a GDF will not be imposed.

XV SOCIO-ECONOMIC UPDATE

3279 Chairman introduced Tess Luetchford of the Romney Marsh Partnership Group, by saying that this group was progressing well. Ms Luetchford provided an update that included the following points:

- 14 members of the group drawn from assorted stakeholders.
- Successful lobbying for improved transport links between Ashford and the Marsh.
- High speed broadband improvements underway with the last pole being installed in November.
- Romney Resource Centre, Business Incubation Centre part-funded by Magnox, now provides facilities for seven small businesses and on-going Business Support.
- Magnox have given £43k to the Marsh Academy apprenticeship scheme.
- Marsh Million loan scheme has £700k available in loans to small businesses and £300k to projects with a wider economic benefit. Loan scheme to be re-launched early November as upper limit has been increased from £10k to £50k.
- Marsh Million Economic Project Scheme due to be launched in November to provide grants and loans to a wider range of organisations that will impact on the economic regeneration of the Marsh.

3280 Chairman concluded the update by saying that the resource centre in particular was using the funding wisely. He advised the following recent recipients of socio-economic funding grants: New Romney Junior Football Club, 1st New Romney Scouts Group, Rye Wurlitzer Theatre Organ Heritage Project workshops.

3281 Chairman encouraged applications for funding advising that bids with a training/ learning aspect were viewed particularly favourably.

3282 Q: Would a museum be able to gain a grant?

A: Submit a bid online and this will be considered. If it doesn't fit the criteria, then you will be advised why.

XVI ANY OTHER BUSINESS

3283 None was forthcoming.

XV NEXT MEETING

3284 The 22nd meeting of the Dungeness SSG has been planned for Thursday 22 January 2015 in the evening, time and location to be advised.

Meeting closed at 12.55

Appendix 1: NDA Contract Model: