

Hunterston Site Stakeholder Group

**THE THIRTY-SEVENTH SITE STAKEHOLDER GROUP MEETING
HELD ON THURSDAY, 4 SEPTEMBER 2014
LAURISTON HOTEL, ARDROSSAN**

Present:

Magnox Ltd

Mr Martin Grafton
Mr Tony Bale
Mr Reuben Phillips
Mr Sean Marshall

Community Councillors

Mrs Rita Holmes – Fairlie (Chair)
Mr John Lamb - West Kilbride (Vice Chair)
Mr Allan Rice – Three Towns Co-operation

Community Council Representatives

Mr Frank Corcoran (Cumbrae)
Mr Doug MacFarlane

Councillors

Cllr Tom Marshall

In Attendance

Ms Kelly Duane, Magnox/Cavendish Fluor Partnership
Mr Noah Fetherston, Magnox/Cavendish Flour Partnership
Ms Shelagh Milligan, Magnox Ltd (Secretariat)
Mr Ralston Ryder, Hunterston Estate
Mr Ewan Young, Scottish Government
Mrs Mel Coulter (Note-taker)

Several members of the public were also in attendance.

Apologies

Mr Angus Cochrane Patrick, Hunterston Estate
Dr Garcia, Ayrshire & Arran Health Board
Councillor Robert Barr
Mr Stuart McGhie
Councillor Elizabeth McLardy
Mr Bill Hamilton, NDA

EDF

Mr John Morrison

NDA

No Representation

ONR

Mr Ryan Maitland
Mr Peter Donnelly

SEPA

Mr Keith Hammond
Mr Adam Stackhouse

**THE THIRTY-SEVENTH HUNTERSTON SITE STAKEHOLDER GROUP MEETING
HELD ON THURSDAY, 4 SEPTEMBER 2014
LAURISTON HOTEL, ARDROSSAN**

1. CHAIRMAN'S OPENING REMARKS

Mrs Rita Holmes, Chair, welcomed everyone to the thirty-seventh meeting of the Hunterston Site Stakeholder Group.

2. CHAIR AND VICE CHAIR UPDATES/CORRESPONDENCE

Mrs Holmes expressed sadness on the recent passing away of Councillor, Alex McLean, North Ayrshire Council and advised that a card of condolence had been sent to the family on behalf of the SSG.

Mrs Holmes noted apologies and made reference to Ayrshire & Arran Health Board). Mrs Holmes noted Ayrshire & Arran's non-attendance at the SSG Meetings for some time asking Mrs Shelagh Milligan, (Secretariat) to address this matter. **(Action 01)**

Further apologies were received from Councillor Robert Barr, Mr Stuart McGhie, Councillor Elizabeth McLardy and Mr Bill Hamilton, NDA. Mr Ewan Young, Scottish Government gave further information as to Mr Bill Hamilton's non-attendance explaining that this was due to the Referendum Act 2013 which placed statutory restrictions on Government bodies and relative agencies during the 28 days before the Referendum regarding what they could attend or issues they could discuss. Mr Young read the formal policy statement from the Scottish Government on this Act to the SSG making them fully aware of the current position.

Mrs Holmes welcomed Mr Martin Grafton, new Site Director of Hunterston A who joined the company following the change of PVO ownership from Energy Solutions to Cavendish Fluor. Mr Grafton took this opportunity to give a brief account of his background and noted (as per his predecessor) that he looked forward to a productive relationship with the SSG.

Mrs Holmes noted that correspondence had been received from Mr Allan Rice who had informed the SSG of the disbandment of Saltcoats Community Council. Mr Rice therefore submitted his resignation from this body to the SSG. Mr Rice advised the SSG of his new membership of the Three Towns Co-operation and asked whether he could still attend the SSG as a member of this group. Mrs Holmes noted that correspondence had been received from Three Towns Co-operation who were happy for Mr Rice to sit on the SSG and to continue to have representation on the group. Mrs Holmes advised that whilst she was happy for Mr Rice to attend today's meeting, she would convene a separate meeting with relevant members to discuss this going forward.

Mrs Holmes gave a brief overview of meetings/events that she and Mr John Lamb had attended since the last SSG. Mr John Lamb, Vice Chair gave further detail on the recent stakeholder event where representatives from Cavendish Fluor, NDA, Magnox and others had attended regarding the share transfer to Cavendish Fluor and NDA and the new agreement for the decommissioning of the Magnox stations. Mr Lamb noted that it had been an excellent and informative evening and wished the new management the best of luck. He stated that it should be noted back to NDA from the SSG of the appreciation of the previous Site Director's work with the SSG and wished to express thanks for his support. **(Action 02)**

Mrs Holmes highlighted her attendance at various meetings as follows:

- Meeting with non-governmental organisations - DECC and ONR (for an update on the White Paper);
- Final meeting with Energy Solutions and Magnox Chairs (offering thanks to Energy Solutions on behalf of the SSG for their openness and transparency);
- Socio-economic meetings;
- Meeting with Mr Martin Grafton, new Site Director, Hunterston A;
- Visit to Western Link to look at drainage (additional visit scheduled for October 5, 2014)
- Shared transfer event (highlighted by Mr John Lamb earlier).

3. APPROVAL OF PREVIOUS MINUTES (March 2014)

The Minutes of the March SSG Meeting had been re-circulated and were proposed by Mr Allan Rice, Three Towns Co-operation and seconded by Mr Tony Bale, Magnox.

4. MATTERS ARISING FROM PREVIOUS MINUTES/APPROVAL

Mrs Holmes made reference to the June Minutes (second paragraph, Page 3) whereby she had spoken of what had/had not been achieved by the SSG, and queried whether what they had been trying to do had affected the site for South West and Central Scotland.

Mrs Holmes advised that Mr Bill Hamilton, NDA had replied that it had an influence on the NDA when they reviewed the matter again.

The SSG highlighted various typographical points to be amended. With these points recorded the June minutes were proposed by Mr Tony Bale and seconded by Mr John Lamb.

(Action 03)

5A. HUNTERSTON B STATION

Mr John Morrison, Hunterston B took the report as read noting that the site had achieved six and a half years without lost time incident. Mr Morrison stated the site had received continued certification under the Quality Environment Health & Safety Standards. With regard to environmental safety, he informed the SSG of an issue regarding a sample of sewage taken from the sewage treatment plant that did not meet the defined parameters of discharge consent being slightly above the acceptable level. Mr Morrison stated that further samples had been taken and confirmed that as a result of this incident, a review with the operator of the sewage plant had been carried out recording a number of faults in the equipment and operation which had now been rectified.



Mr Morrison advised that they were intensifying their focus on the processing of consignment of low level waste and were currently ahead of plan for the existing outage. With regards to radiological protection, Mr Morrison reported that dose uptake was low and was as expected. It was noted that a new fire appliance had been purchased and was due to appear on site in September. Mr Morrison advised the SSG of safety exercises within the period whereby the site had demonstrated a response to a terrorist incident and had responded to a large seismic event noting that both events had been carried out successfully.

Mr Morrison stated that Reactor 3 had experienced one unplanned manual trip reported in May with the Reactor being returned to service within a few days. He advised that Reactor 4 had operated continuously throughout the period with one case noted in June whereby it was down for load refuelling, which was normal procedure.

Mr Morrison advised that they were pleased with the work being carried out in the South in terms of the employment of adults with disabilities and made reference to the work being done in the Sussex area with regard to conservation which was encouraging in terms of biodiversity. The site continued to raise money for Marie Cure Cancer Care with Mr Morrison commending the efforts of all involved. He advised that the Site had attained the "Ayrshire Smiles All Round" Award for the visitor attraction, deeming it five star with them being the first business with 100% customer facing staff who had completed the Ayrshire Smiles Award. With regard to Hunterston B power station boosting local economy, Mr Morrison discussed the fact that they were in the middle of a statutory outage with a lot of people visiting the area and living in the local community with local companies involved heavily in the outage. He added that new apprentices had been inducted and were undertaking technical training before returning to site.

In response to Councillor Tom Marshall who had commented on the difficulties in trying to secure accommodation with the outage taking place over the summer, Mr Morrison advised that the outage would be completed in late September/early October 2014 and appreciated the challenges faced with regard to securing accommodation. Mr John Lamb asked for an update on the fuel rod that was stuck in Reactor 4. Mr Morrison advised that the rod had come out under normal operations. Mr Morrison advised that they were half way through the outage with the hope to reach target late September/early October.

Mr Tony Bale, Magnox enquired if the recent inspection had revisited the areas where previous shut downs had reported structural problems with some pipework in and around the boilers resulting in the pressure having to be dropped and then raised again and what was the conclusion on this matter. Mr Morrison gave further explanation of this to the SSG stating that in 2006 there had been challenges faced with some boilers where corrosion had arisen due to temperature within the boilers and resultant cracks appearing. He noted that whilst the temperature had been reduced, the pressure had stayed the same. Mr Morrison clarified that at every outage they checked 100% of the boilers and inspections had indicated that the boilers were still in good condition.

Mr Allan Rice asked Mr Morrison if he was aware of what had caused the problem in terms of the sewage discharge. Mr Morrison advised that this had resulted from a combination of a component of a valve not properly closed, and procedures the operator was applying, both of which had been rectified. With regard to the radiological sample, Mr Rice asked whether it was possible for correlated data to be reported back to the SSG in one-off form. Mr Morrison agreed that an update on the results of the samples could be provided.

Mrs Holmes asked whether Mr Morrison was able to offer a presentation to the SSG on the outage. Mr Morrison advised he could provide an updated report on the outage and lessons learned at the next SSG. **(Action 04)**

5B. SEPA REPORT

Mr Keith Hammond, SEPA took the report as read. Mr Hammond noted that a joint inspection had been carried out on 28 August 2014 with himself and Mr Ryan Maitland, ONR inspecting waste issues related to outage. Mr Hammond noted a significant reduction in the amount of waste onsite with the accelerated waste plan proving to be effective.

Mr Hammond stated that the Public Consultation on Variation Application was ongoing with all written responses to be made by 3 October 2014. Mrs Holmes advised that voting members of the SSG had met to discuss a draft response on this matter before today's meeting and advised that a collective response would be given before the deadline of 3 October 2014.

With regard to the sewage treatment plant, it was indicated that SEPA would undertake enforcement sampling at the sewage works for the next calendar year to ensure the problems had been resolved and remained so.

Mr John Lamb referred to the data available online which gave total release values of radioactive nuclides, however there was no reference as to whether they were above/below or what is expected on the authorisation. Mr Lamb asked for more details on this. Mr Hammond suggested that he could issue Mr Lamb with a copy of the authorisation which stated the limits.

With regards to offsite waste transfers for disposal, Mr Lamb made reference to 13,500 tonnes and sought clarification on this figure. Mr Hammond suggested that this was probably the grand total of what was sent off site. Mr Andy Taylor, Environmental Secretary suggested that if it were conventional waste, it could relate to the recent construction of the nitrogen plant and associated excavations. Mr Adam Stackhouse, SEPA added that with regard to the comparison of discharges compared to the limits, SEPA and the environmental agencies and partners issued a "Radioactivity in Food and the Environment" Report which was due for publication in October which would detail the percentages.

5C. ONR REPORT

Before giving his report Mr Ryan Maitland, ONR responded to an earlier question raised by Mrs Holmes as to whether there was a limit on the amount of waste that could be accumulated onsite and whether there was a measure for this. He stated that there was no limit on the waste being accumulated. Mr Maitland explained that Licence conditions required EDF and other licenses to manage waste in a way that minimises waste and is as safe as practical. He added that they inspected on a yearly basis to get assurances that EDF and Magnox (for A site) were doing everything reasonably practical to keep accumulations as low as possible.

Mr Maitland indicated that another stipulation within the licence condition framework, was power to direct EDF or Magnox to dispose of waste should they believe they were not doing anything practical, however in that scenario, they would work closely with SEPA as they had a vested interest in regulating disposal.

Mr Maitland took the report as read and highlighted a few points. Mr Maitland re-emphasised the issue around the stuck fuel stringer and noted that they had issued a licence instrument that would have enabled EDF to undertake a contingency action in the event it could not withdraw the stringer. He noted that it had not been a wasted effort.

Mr Maitland noted that the Level 1 Emergency Exercise had been judged to be an adequate demonstration – “adequate” in terms of it met their expectations and not that it was below good or a level that EDF should be as a mature licensee. He gave no further comment on the counter terrorism exercise as that inspection had been carried out by security colleagues. He gave assurance that ONR invested a large amount of inspector resource overseeing planning, execution and return to service of outages across the fleet and had around 7 or 8 inspectors investigating different aspects of the outage and recognised the significant numbers of additional people and contractors on site. Mr Maitland stated that they had a significant interest and it was one of very few occasions where they used their primary powers to allow the reactor to restart. The same process would be repeated later in the month.

Several Licence instruments had been issued which were routine aspects of their regulation and all had gone through successfully. Mrs Holmes enquired if the extra work required to be carried out in relation to the stuck fuel stringer whether EDF had to ask ONR for permission and if they had to do extra work in relation to that. Mr Maitland discussed Licence Condition 22 giving further explanation that any modification or experiment to plant was subject to a categorisation process and that the nature of a proposal with regard to the stuck fuel stringer (to raise loads applied during lifting) and should that contingency require to be put into effect, it was judged by EDF (as it was a higher category) and required permission from ONR with those circumstances requiring EDF to request permission from the SSG. He advised that even if this had been a lower category, ONR had a holding point which stopped EDF undertaking this exercise until they were satisfied. He noted however that ONR rarely had to do this as EDF's arrangements put significant hold over themselves. Mr Maitland concluded that this had been a higher category modification that required that oversight.

Councillor Tom Marshall asked Mr Maitland to provide an example of the most significant improvement that they had requested in the last three months. Mr Maitland gave further information on the recent contingency arrangement to recover the stuck stringer explaining that there had been aspects of the work which had required further clarification from EDF in terms of arrangements for recovering the fuel stringer under higher load, areas of training they had been interested in seeing and standards being raised slightly in terms of their expectations. Mr Maitland commented that he was happy to participate in a smaller group forum if there was a desire for more specific information to be provided.

Mr Maitland noted that the industry regulated itself through peer review with forums such as the World Association of Nuclear Operators who were very challenging and rigorous in peer review and peer checking and explained that it was effectively inspecting and comparing the standards employed in EDF in Scotland and England versus the US, Europe and the Far East, a powerful system used across the world. Mr Maitland stated that because of their goal setting framework of regulations, it empowered and compelled licensees to do more to improve.

Mr Allan Rice enquired as to how long the fuel rod was stuck for and when was the load reduced to 70%. Mr John Morrison advised that they had tried to remove the fuel rod in the first instance in March 2013, the load had been reduced to 70% in 2007 however this was not related to the stuck fuel rod.

Mr Doug MacFarlane, Largs Community Council made reference to the outage, enquiring whether they had sufficient time to check for compressionary graphite cores. Mr Morrison responded that they inspected the graphite core with an in-core inspection at every outage. He noted they also checked control rods and standpipes for any issues.

6A. HUNTERSTON A STATION

Due to the recent appointment of Mr Martin Grafton, it was agreed that Mr Reuben Phillips would deliver the report to the SSG. Before handing over to Mr Phillips, Mr Grafton wished to reinforce the Cavendish Fluor Partnership's approach to safety, environment and security with emphasis being on the safety of the workforce, safety of the general public, protection of the environment and security of the society.

Mr Reuben Phillips, Hunterston A took the report as read with no lost time accidents noted. One medical treatment case had been recorded whereby a contractor had received a foreign body in his eye. Mr Phillips noted that although the contractor had been wearing full wrap-round safety glasses, a speck of dust had gone into his eye, which highlighted the importance of not becoming complacent.

Mr Phillips advised that pond decommissioning continued with the site stabilising over 1850 square metres of pond wall. Water level was now at 1 metre with work continuing to remove steel work on the pond floor. It was noted that the pontoons within the pond were also being removed with targeted stabilisation of the pond floor scheduled for March 2016.

With regard to SAWBR Solid ILW Bunker Retrieval Facility, Mr Phillips stated that they were now producing ILW packages from this facility with nine completed to date. He indicated the intention to speed up the production of the packages and that a planned maintenance shut-down of that plant would be scheduled in order to carry out maintenance on the plant.

Mr Phillips indicated that a contract had been issued to Balfour Beatty for the SILWE Solid Intermediate Level Waste Encapsulation project. It was the intention that Balfour Beatty would visit the site in September to begin prep work for the construction of SILWE. In relation to WILREP Mr Phillips stated they were finalising one part of the design of this plant, a sludge direct feed unit, with procurement activity starting in August. Mr Phillips noted the new RSA Authorisation for the site with a new multi-media authorisation which came into effect on 1 July 2014 and significant reductions in discharge limits for gaseous and aqueous waste with limits noted in the table within the report. He highlighted there would be more flexibility for disposal of solid waste with there being no limit on volume or radio activity for low level waste. There was now the ability to dispose of organic liquid waste which included radio-active oil.

In relation to CP7, work had been completed around the compound and drainage resolved. Baseline data monitoring had been completed in June which suggested no mobile elevated activity remained in the area with a formal report being completed in September.

Mr Phillips indicated that there had been a Level One Exercise on 15 May 2014 where the Site had demonstrated an adequate display of arrangements to the Regulator, Scottish Government and emergency personnel.

Mr John Lamb sought clarification as to whether the gaseous and aqueous annual limits were approximately 10% of what they were. Mr Phillips' responded that they were now more of a fraction with Mr Adam Stackhouse indicating they were significantly less than half.

In response to Mr Allan Rice who had raised concern over a section in the report which stated "positively challenge working practices", Mr Martin Grafton explained that this related to tackling complacency and that it was important that when doing a job, the workforce stop and assess if the process was still applicable.

Mrs Holmes enquired as to the time taken between the retrieval of package 8 and package 9 from the SILWE facility. Mr Phillips explained that there had been a pause between package 8 and 9 to enable maintenance outage. The next outage would be carried out in November and the intention was to implement processes that would speed up the retrieval process.

Mr Tony Bale asked when the SSG could see the revised schedule for completion of this plant. Mr Martin Grafton stated that over the next months, they would review the schedules for all projects including an integrated schedule to take them through to closure or care and maintenance and then discuss that with NDA (with any changes being agreed) thereafter, bring the information before the SSG. Mr Bale noted the time frame of 2022 for this work to be completed and asked if this was still the intention. Mr Grafton commented that they were working on that premise. Mr Bale requested that Mr Grafton keep the SSG up to date as the situation developed.

Mr Allan Rice asked for clarification on the new Agresso system and how it compared with the old system. Ms Kelly Duane, Cavendish Fluor Partnership explained that it was a resource management system being rolled out to the Magnox sites and extra training may be provided to cope with any teething problems. Mr Rice made reference to the refresher training programme and raised a question in relation to the emergency response team. Mr Rice asked if there was a central location point where equipment was stored and the team could muster. Mr Phillips advised that there was a station garage where the team would congregate at their vehicles and specialist equipment. He noted that the emergency response team were the first people to be alerted even before the site alarm sounded.

With regard to sewage treatment works, Mr Rice enquired whether it was human effluent treatment and asked for further information on this process. He asked whether there had been any savings noted by the changes put in place regarding the monitoring of resources such as water, electricity and fuel. Mr Phillips stated that the amount fluctuated depending on the work being done on site. Mr Rice asked whether there was a possibility of installing a small wind turbine or solar panels in the new build. Mr Phillips responded that previously staff had suggested a turbine but it had been rejected and not pursued further.

Mrs Holmes asked whether the wet treatment plant was working more efficiently after its redesign to facilitate through-put. Mr Phillips indicated that the new design had not been put in place and work was ongoing.



Mrs Holmes commended the commitment of Cavendish Fluor were making towards the workforce. Mr Martin Grafton explained that commitment had been shown by the Cavendish Fluor Chairman that the intention was to offer all Magnox Limited employees a job within the parent body organisations when their role on a Magnox site came to an end. He added that they were very conscious that they wanted to offer an incentive of a job when the site closes. As part of the Babcock International Group, Cavendish had various sites where there could be job opportunities.

6B. SEPA REPORT

Mr Stackhouse made reference to a point made earlier by Mr Reuben Phillips regarding the new authorisation and clarified that waste oils were not discharged with other liquids and that they were sent to treatment facilities.

Mr Stackhouse noted that all three existing authorisations were revoked on 1 July 2014 and all assessed as excellent with no incidents/events at Hunterston and no non-compliances found. He added that along with a colleague who specialised in PPC licensing, they had visited the site and observed cement batching and the bulk storage permit with no problems identified.

Mr Stackhouse stated that he had carried out an inspection of compliance with new authorisation making sure procedures were in place to cover the new requirements. The site had procedures in place to comply with conditions and limitations of new authorisation. He advised that future inspection would look in more detail at specific conditions. Mr Stackhouse gave thanks to Magnox who had carried out a training session for SEPA staff. He noted that no events or incidents had occurred within this reporting period.

Mr Stackhouse indicated that Magnox had contacted SEPA about the possible use of topsoil from the high voltage construction site. This was around 40,000-50,000 cubic metres which could be used in landscaping the site rather than transport it elsewhere. He stated that SEPA were supportive of proposals and would make sure anything done was conducted in a way that protected the environment. With regards to care and maintenance, site staff had shared with SEPA their detailed plans regarding entry state definition with SEPA's particular interest being with any contaminated structures that would remain on the site after 2022, how much required to be cleaned up and how much could be left.

Mr Tony Bale asked if the Site were still working towards the three box model. Mr Grafton, Hunterston A advised that whilst in the future this situation could be reviewed the current intention was to work to the three box model. It was his intention to give best value for money for the tax payer and would review matters if necessary and if sensible would come back to the NDA to reconsider. He assured Mr Bale that he would report back to the SSG if that situation ever arose.

6C. ONR REPORT

Mr Peter Donnelly took the report as read. Mr Donnelly noted that two site visits had been carried out within the reporting period and a Level One exercise had been carried out which had been deemed adequate with elements of good practice.



With regard to inspection of duly authorised and qualified people, ONR had found arrangements to be good with Mr Donnelly being particularly impressed by the individual he had inspected. Mr Donnelly noted that they had found areas of improvement around operating rules and around interpretation of language between the site and the corporate centre. ONR were engaging with Magnox corporate centre in terms of their interpretation of operations to address this to ensure safety arrangements. Mr Donnelly spoke of examination inspection on maintenance and testing around responsibility, accountability and authority for those overseeing those tasks. He requested Magnox clarify the position in terms of duties for those individuals as it was unclear in terms of who was responsible, who was accountable and who had the authority.

Mr Donnelly mentioned the recent PVO change of ownership which had been assessed and granted by ONR with relative licence instrument granted. Mr Donnelly informed the SSG of Licence instruments for Licence Condition 3 Change of Property leases with the aim being to simplify the change of control from NDA to Magnox. The ONR report on financial position had been issued and was available on the ONR website. Mr Donnelly noted the ONR strategy for 2015/20 informing the SSG that consultation was open on the ONR website until 6 October 2014. He indicated that statutory obligation must be in place by 6 December 2014.

In response to Mrs Holmes, Mr Donnelly gave further information on the licence instruments with the change of property leases and explained what it entailed. Mrs Holmes asked for further information regarding interpretation between the corporate centre in terms of “operating rules” and the definition of the term. Mr Donnelly indicated that there may be a re-writing of Magnox procedures in terms of the use of language. Mr Donnelly noted that as a legal inspector, the use of language was extremely important, it required to be very specific which was why he revisited the licence condition operating rules to ensure they met conditions in the interests of safety.

7. SCOTTISH GOVERNMENT UPDATE

Mr Ewan Young, Scottish Government provided an update for the SSG. Mr Young advised that following ministerial approval, the Naturally Occurring Radioactive Material (NORM) strategy had been published on 24 July 2014 on the Scottish Government website. Links to the strategy were also placed on the websites of DECC, Welsh Government and Northern Ireland Department of the Environment. He also noted that a post adoption strategic environmental assessment statement had been published on Scottish Government website on 21 August 2014. Mr Young advised that copies of the non-confidential responses had been published on the Scottish Government website and were also available from the Scottish Government Library. A summary analysis had been published and was available via these routes. Mr Young advised that the implementation of the strategy would be led by the Government who would work closely with Regulators across the UK with overall progress of the strategy being monitored by the UK Radioactive Substances Policy Group.

Mr Young indicated that the Government proposed to hold periodic forums for the NORM industry to enable further discussion. These forums would be held biannually.



Mr Young stated that the Regulatory Reform Scotland Act had been passed by Scottish Parliament on 16 January 2014. Scottish Government would work closely with SEPA to develop the new regulatory framework that would sit under the Act. He added that as part of Phase 1, a Consultation on New Enforcement Measures for the Scottish Environment Protection Agency and the Relevant Offences Order had been launched on 3 July 2014 which would run until 3 October 2014. This consultation was available on the Scottish Government website and also on Citizen Space where responses could be given. Mr Young concluded that the new enforcement framework was aimed at helping SEPA and the Courts to take a proportionate and effective approach.

8. PUBLIC Q & A SESSION/AOCB

Mr Sean Marshall, Magnox noted that £375k had been awarded to the Ardrossan Quayside office. Construction had commenced on the offices which would be a welcome addition to the three towns. He informed the SSG of the new North Ayrshire Economic Steering Group which would look specifically at the Hunterston area in relation to care and maintenance for 2022. Mrs Holmes, Mr Grafton and Mr Marshall would attend these group meetings and would report back to the SSG on the progress of the group.

From within the public audience Mr Alan Paterson drew attention to the fact that Hartlepool and Havesham sites were closed until October due to boiler anomalies. Mr Paterson enquired whether Hunterston had carried out checks on their boilers and if so, what was the outcome. Mr John Morrison, Hunterston B responded that this situation did not affect Hunterston as the technology at Hunterston was completely different. Mr Morrison continued by giving further clarification to SSG members.

9. DATE AND TIME OF NEXT MEETING

The next meeting will be held on Thursday, 4 December 2014 in the Lauriston Hotel (TBC).

ACTION LIST

Thirty-Seventh Hunterston Site Stakeholder Group Meeting

Thursday, 4 September 2014

No	Action	Responsible	Target Date	Status/Comments
01	To correspond with Ayrshire & Arran Health Board regarding no-attendance at SSG Meetings.	Secretariat	04/12/14	
02	To correspond with NDA and offer a note of thanks regarding the previous Site Director's involvement in the SSG.	Secretariat	04/12/14	
03	To amend typographical errors from June 2014 Minutes.	Secretariat	04/12/14	
04	To report on the results of the radiological sampling and provide an updated report on the outage.	Mr Morrison	04/12/14	